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Introduction

Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) can present itself as excessive worry, apprehension or uneasiness of the mind over an anticipated or impending event or activity (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV), 2000; Semple, Reid & Miller, 2005). An individual with a GAD diagnosis can experience clinically significant distress or impairment in school and social functioning, find it difficult to concentrate, experience muscle tension, be restless and easily become fatigued or irritable (DSM-IV, 2000). Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) can present itself as a combination of inattention and hyperactive-impulsive symptoms that can also cause an individual with an ADHD diagnosis to experience clinically significant impairments in social and academic functioning (DSM-IV, 2000). Some of these symptoms include: difficulties sustaining attention in tasks or play activities, difficulties organizing tasks, being easily distracted, often avoiding or is reluctant to engage in tasks that require sustained mental effort, often leaving their seat in classrooms and has difficulties waiting their turn and interrupts others (DSM-IV, 2000).

A child who has characteristics of both of these diagnoses will experience anxiety in a classroom setting and could engage in off task and problem behaviour during classroom time meant for teaching and academic work. Therefore, it is important for research to focus on the development of effective interventions to decrease anxiety and problem behaviours and increase on task behaviour in the classroom for children diagnosed with GAD and ADHD.

ADHD and has been effectively treated with cognitive behaviour therapy, parent management training, and medication (Van der Oord, Bogels, & Peijnenburg, 2008). However, these treatments are not ideal due to several limitations. First, cognitive behaviour therapy has short-term effects on children with ADHD and generalization of skills is often low (Chambles &
Ollendick 2001; Pelham & Fabiano, 2008). Second, parent management training, although effective, a non-response to this treatment is predicted when parents also have ADHD (Sonuga-Barke, Daley, & Thompson, 2002; Van den Hoofdakker et al., 2010). Furthermore, the effects of parent management training appear to be better in pre-adolescent children than in adolescent children (Barkley, 2004; Chronis et al. 2004). Third, medication is effective in the short term and is often accompanied by side effects. Findings also indicate that treatment fidelity decreases with adolescent children (Schachter, Pham, King, Langford, & Moher, 2001). The risk for suicide is nearly three times higher for children with ADHD (James, Lai, & Dahl, 2004); therefore, there is a need to investigate alternative treatments to children with ADHD.

Cognitive behaviour therapy has been shown to be effective in treating children with anxiety disorders (Flannery-Schroeder & Kendall, 2000; Kendall, 1994; Kendall et al., 1997). Although one study has reported effects lasting more than three years (Kendall & Southam-Gerow, 1996), others have reported inconsistent results (Last, Hansen, & Franco, 1998) and have questioned whether treatment gains can be sustained (Hayward et al., 2000). Due to inconsistent findings and the prevalence of anxiety in children, it is important to investigate alternate treatments.

One model for intervention draws from the construct of mindful awareness. Mindfulness is a state of awareness of your own mind at any given moment; it means to pay attention and to be focused on the present moment without judgment (Kabat-Zinn, 1994). Recent research suggests that a mindfulness intervention can be successful to decrease anxiety, increase academic functioning and decrease attention and behaviour problems in children (Flook et al., 2010; Kendall, 1994; Semple, Lee, Rosa & Miller, 2010; Semple, Reid & Miller, 2005; Singh et al., 2010; Smalley et al., 2009). For example, Flook et al. (2010) implemented a mindfulness
awareness program call the Inner Kids Program with second- and third-grade children ages 7-9 years. The efficacy of the mindfulness intervention was assessed within a randomized experimental design with a control group. Results showed that mindfulness awareness training showed improvements in the children’s behaviour regulation, metacognition, and overall global executive control.

The proposed research aims to further examine the potential of a mindfulness-based intervention for decreasing anxiety and problem behaviours, increasing on task behaviour, and increasing task accuracy in a school setting with children who experience anxiety and characteristics of ADHD. This study will replicate and expand on the findings of Flook et al. (2010) and Semple et al. (2005) by using the Inner Kids Program with older students (aged 9-12) who have been diagnosed with Generalized Anxiety Disorder and ADHD in a school setting. Few studies to date have focused on a teacher-led mindfulness intervention with children who are diagnosed with both GAD and ADHD. It is hypothesized that through teacher-led mindfulness interventions, children will experience fewer symptoms of anxiety, engage in more on task, pro-social behaviour and social validity will be high.

**Methods**

**Research Question**

This study aims to address the research question: Is there a functional relation between a mindfulness based intervention and a decrease in anxiety and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) problem related behaviours and an increase in engaged time in learning activities and in task accuracy in a school setting with children who experience anxiety and characteristics of ADHD?
Participants

Four students, between the ages of 9 and 12, from four different schools will participate in the study. All students will be diagnosed with ADHD and/or generalized anxiety disorder. The students participating in this study will show visible symptoms of ADHD or generalized anxiety disorder such as off-task, problem behaviour and low task accuracy. All students will be enrolled in schools located in the Lower Mainland and must not have any history of participating in mindful awareness practice. Students participating in this study must not be starting any new medications nor will they be starting any medications during the course of the study.

Participants will be recruited for this study in the following way. The district superintendent will be contacted first to receive permission to conduct a research study in the school district. After receiving permission to conduct the proposed study, integration support teachers within the school district will be contacted and asked to recommend students from their caseloads that have a current diagnosis of ADHD or generalized anxiety disorder. A letter will be sent out to teachers and parents of the recommended students outlining the research study to determine if there is interest in participating. Among those teachers and parents who express an interest in participating in the study, an informed consent form for initial screening will be given to the teachers and parents of the recommended students. The initial screening process will include a classroom observation of the potential participants during academic learning activities to confirm the possibility of baseline. Some students who are not participants may be captured on videotape during the observation. Therefore, permission to videotape will be obtained from parents of all students in the classroom. Parents will be informed that the videotape will be used in a locked lab, their child may be in the background of the video, and researchers will only take data on the child who is a potential participant for the study. A study participation consent will
be sent home to parents of students who meet the criteria for being a participant in the study. After receiving study participation consent from parents, students will then be contacted to request their informed assent to participate in the study. Once informed assent is given, students will be accepted as participants for the proposed study.

**Setting**

Intervention will take place in the morning at 9:00am in the natural classroom. The duration of intervention ranges from 5-15 minutes depending on the level of students. There will be 24 - 30 students in the natural classroom. Observation sessions will also take place in the natural classroom either between recess and lunch (10:30 am -12:00 pm) or after lunch (12:30 pm - 2:30 pm) and will last for an hour. During observation sessions, classroom activities will include math, science, social studies, and language arts lessons. These lessons will include pen and paper work, hands-on activities, and/or group work. Intervention and observation sessions will be videotaped and they will be coded in a research lab.

**Measurement**

**Dependent Variables.** Research suggests that a mindfulness intervention can be successful to decrease anxiety, increase academic functioning and decrease attention and behaviour problems in children who experience symptoms related to anxiety and ADHD (Flook et al., 2010; Kendall, 1994; Semple et al., 2010; Semple et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2010; Smalley et al., 2009). Therefore, the dependent variables chosen for this study will be engaged time, problem behaviour related to anxiety and ADHD, and task accuracy. Each are defined below:

*Engaged time.* Engaged time refers to students’ active participation in classroom learning activities. Some examples include: Maintaining focus during instructional time; completing
given assignments; participating in class discussions; following instructions, rules and routines; and working independently and in groups.

**Problem behaviour.** Behaviour that interferes with student learning and social-emotional health will be defined as problem behaviour. Problem behaviour will include observable behaviours such as non-compliance, defiance, leaving seat, putting head down on desk, interrupting others, and self-injurious behaviour.

**Task accuracy.** Task accuracy will be defined as whether an assignment was completed as requested and includes accurate responses based on the information provided during instructional time by the classroom teacher. Examples of task accuracy include providing correct answers to math, science or social studies questions; and writing a story that has a plot and well-developed characters.

**Social validity.** Social validity refers to “the estimation of the importance, effectiveness, and/or satisfaction various people experience in relation to a particular intervention” (Kennedy, 2005, p. 219). Examples of social validity include the students show improvement in classroom behaviours and academic performance, the teachers can easily implement and sustain the intervention over time, and the students enjoy participating in mindful awareness activities and are able to independently use mindfulness to regulate behaviour.

**Implementation fidelity.** Implementation fidelity refers to whether or not the intervention is being implemented as intended. In this study, implementation fidelity will be defined as teachers following the Inner Kids curriculum lesson plans and delivering the lessons on the prescribed schedule.

**Measurement Procedures.** Learning activities will occur in the natural classroom setting. Both intervention and observation sessions will be videotaped. Child behaviour and
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participation in learning activities in the classroom will be coded in a research lab following each observation session. Measures of engaged time and problem behaviour will be collected using a partial interval recording system. The intervals will be divided into 15 s intervals. Observers will observe the videotape and record intervals of the occurrence and non-occurrence of students being engaged in learning and of being engaged in problem behaviour. Written assignment samples will be collected for measuring task accuracy.

Engaged time. Some examples of the occurrence of engaged time include listening to the teacher, participating in class discussions, following instructions, working independently, and working in small groups for 10 out of 15 s without problem behaviour. Conversely, if the student is not doing any of above-mentioned behaviours during the interval, it is considered to be a non-occurrence of engaged time. Engaged time will be measured as percentage of intervals of engaged time. The formula for calculating the percentage will be number of intervals scored as occurrences of engaged time (E) divided by the total possible intervals (T) multiplied by 100%, \((E/T)100\%\).

Problem behaviour. The occurrence of problem behaviours include non-compliance, defiance, leaving seat, putting head down on desk, interrupting others, engaging others in off-topic conversation and self-injurious such as scratching skin until it bleeds. If the child does not demonstrate the above behaviours during the 15 s interval, it is considered to be a non-occurrence of problem behaviour. Problem behaviour will be measured as percentage of intervals of problem behaviour. The formula for calculating the percentage will be number of intervals scored as occurrences of problem behaviour (P) divided by the total number of intervals (T) multiplied by 100%, \((P/T)100\%\).
**Task accuracy.** Work samples from the observation session will be collected and marked according to a pre-determined rubric provided by the teacher to give a percentage of task accuracy. If a response matches the criteria of the given rubric it is considered to be correct (i.e., an occurrence of task accuracy), if the response does not match the criteria in the given rubric, it is considered to be incorrect (i.e., a non-occurrence of task accuracy). The formula for calculating percentage of task accuracy will be number of correct answers (C) divided by the total number of questions (T) multiplied by 100%, \((C/T)\times 100\%\).

**Social validity.** To address issues of social validity, teachers will be asked to answer questions on a Likert-type scale, 1 meaning disagree and 5 meaning agree, about the MAPs intervention goals, strategies, and outcomes. The teachers will complete the questionnaire at the end of the 8 – week intervention phase and again during the maintenance and follow-up phase. The formula for calculating social validity will be the total number of teacher agreement scores \((A)\) divided by the total number of possible scores \((T)\) multiplied by 100%, \((A/T)\times 100\%\).

**Implementation fidelity.** Teachers will complete an implementation fidelity checklist after each intervention session. The formula for calculating implementation fidelity will be the number of strategies implemented by the teacher \((I)\) divided by the total number of strategies \((T)\) multiplied by 100%, \((I/T)\times 100\%\). The intervention sessions will be videotaped so that researchers will be able to provide feedback and further training if required. In addition, the videotaped intervention sessions will be used to measure inter-observer agreement.

**Observer training.** To determine appropriate coding criteria, the researchers will watch and code three videotaped sessions together and mark the corresponding assignment samples using the marking rubric provided by the teacher. Examples and non-examples of engaged time and problem behaviour will be determined in each video, and the examples and non-examples of
accurate responses will be determined for each writing sample. Two research assistants will be trained to 90% accuracy to serve as data collectors. Each assistant will be provided a written manual, receive direct instruction, and complete three consecutive pilot observations and marking work samples with one of the main researchers. A debriefing will follow each pilot observation and marking session. Retraining will occur periodically during the study to control for observer drift.

**Inter-observer agreement procedures.** Sequential coding of probe observational sessions, which will be chosen at random, will be used. This means one research assistant and one of the researchers will independently observe and code the same video. The observing and coding of videos will take place on the same day that the probe observation session takes place. Each of the following variables will be measured for agreement: (a) percentage of intervals for engaged time, (b) percentage of interval for problem behaviour, and (c) task accuracy. For task accuracy, one research assistant and one researcher will independently mark the subjective work samples from the observation session and mark it according to the rubric provided by the teacher. The researchers will then compare the percentages. For problem behaviour and for engaged time, the research assistant and one researcher will independently mark the occurrence and non-occurrence of problem behaviour and of engaged time. To ensure independence, the observers will score these variables at the same starting point in the observation but at different times. Inter-observer agreement for problem behaviour and for engaged time will be measured using Cohen’s Kappa. The formula for Cohen’s Kappa is as follows: $2(ad - bc)/(n1f2 + n2f1)$. 
Inter-observer agreement will be recorded for 33% of the observational sessions, which will be evenly distributed across each phase. Inter-observer agreement for task accuracy will be measured using a reliability percentage. The formula for reliability percentage is as follows: \((L\% / H\%)100 = R\%\). Where \(L\) is equal to the lower percentage given by a data collector and \(H\) is equal to the higher percentage given by a data collector and \(R\) equals the reliability percentage. Reliability agreement will be used when the data collectors are required to use some subjectivity when marking assignments (e.g. story writing and art projects).

**Research Design**

A concurrent multiple baseline design across students will be used to assess the effects of the Inner Kids mindfulness awareness training program on students’ engaged time during learning activities, problem behaviour, and task accuracy. One reason for choosing a multiple baseline is that the independent variable (i.e., mindful awareness training) is non-reversible; it involves the learning of a new skill. Having a concurrent baseline allows the researchers to simultaneously evaluate the dependent variables (i.e., engaged time, problem behaviour, and task accuracy) across participants. Four baselines will be used to prevent threats to internal validity such as attrition and weak/no effect. Each participant will be randomly assigned to the baselines. The design will have three phases: baseline, treatment, and follow-up. A multiple probe
measurement procedure will be used to reduce effects of reactivity to continuous measurement (Horner & Baer, 1978).

**Research Procedures**

**Preparation.** After participants have been recruited and consent has been received from parents, schools, and students, classrooms will be equipped with video recording devices placed in discreet, non-obtrusive locations to record student behaviour in the classroom across baseline, intervention, and follow-up phases.

Prior to baseline measurement, a number of academic classroom learning activities will be video taped for analysis of dependent measures. The pilot observation will be conducted for the purpose of testing out the equipment, reducing reactivity, and for having data to train observers.

**Baseline.** Observation sessions at baseline will be recorded and the video recordings will be coded in a research lab. Data will be collected on the percentage of intervals of problem behaviour related to ADHD and generalized anxiety disorder, the percentage of intervals of engaged time in the classroom, and percentage of task accuracy. Teachers will give researchers a marking rubric so data collectors will be able to collect data on task accuracy in the research lab. Data will be collected in the first baseline until a stable level of behaviour is established. A minimum of 3 data points will be gathered for each participant, with additional observation sessions as necessary to establish the stability of baseline data. There will be a staggered start time of intervention across the first three participants. The last two participants will start intervention simultaneously unless there is participant attrition or a stable baseline was not established.
**Intervention.** Teachers will be trained in the Mindful Awareness Practice (MAP) intervention (Flook et al., 2010) and will also be trained on how to correctly complete an implementation fidelity checklist. Teachers will implement the MAPs training in the natural classroom. The MAPs training that will be used in this study was developed by Susan Kaiser-Greenland, who modeled her curriculum after classical mindfulness training for adults and modified the training to be suitable for children (Flook et al., 2010). Intervention will be delivered twice a week over 8 weeks for a total of 16 sessions.

The MAPs training will include exercises and games that promote different learning objectives: (a) sensory awareness, attention regulation and awareness of thoughts and feelings; (b) awareness of others (being aware of other people’s thoughts and feelings and being aware of body placement in relation to other people); and (c) awareness of the environment (being aware of relationships between people, places and things) (Flook et al., 2010). Each intervention session will involve three standard sequences. The first sequence includes meditation for approximately 3 minutes; the second sequence contains activities and games that promote each week’s learning objective; and the third sequence includes a body scan meditation while lying down for approximately 5 minutes. The duration of the first and third sequences, which are reflective activities, will gradually increase over the 8-week intervention period while the second sequence, which is more goal directed, will become shorter in duration (Flook et al., 2010).

**Maintenance and Follow-up.** Teachers will continue with MAPs training for students after the intervention phase by engaging students in a daily 5-minute MAPs session. Follow-up measures across all dependent variables will be gathered once per month for six months following the intervention phase.
Anticipated Results

The anticipated results for baseline, intervention, and follow-up phases for engaged time are presented in figure 1, for problem behaviour are presented in figure 2, and for task accuracy are presented in figure 3.

Baseline

It is anticipated that the percentage of intervals with engaged time will be low (ranging between zero and 30%), the percentage of intervals with problem behaviour will be high (ranging from 50% to 90%), and the percentage of task accuracy will be low (ranging from zero to 50%). This prediction is based on evidence that students with ADHD and GAD have poor executive functioning, which is associated with cognitive deficits, poor socio-emotional health, and poor academic functioning (Flook et al., 2010). In addition, students with these diagnoses typically experience difficulties concentrating and engage in maladaptive behaviours (DSM-IV, 2000).

Intervention

It is anticipated that the percentage of intervals with engaged time will increase gradually during intervention to 70-85%, the percentage of intervals with problem behaviour will decrease gradually to 0-15%, and the percentage of task accuracy will increase gradually to 70-90%. This optimistic gradual increase is based on the idea that proficiency in mindfulness is cultivated through repetition and continuous practice (Flook et al., 2010). It is also based on scientific research that mindful awareness training has a strong effect on children who have executive function difficulties and that adolescents who participated in mindfulness training demonstrated reduced attention and behaviour problems and improved executive functioning (van de Weijer-Bergsma et al., 2011). It is anticipated that social validity results will be positive, 85-95%, as
mindful awareness practices are easy to implement in natural settings (i.e., the classroom), they are cost effective, and the outcomes for students (increased engaged time, reduced problem behaviour, and increased task accuracy) are positive.

**Follow-up**

It is anticipated that there will be a stable or slight increase in percentage of intervals with engaged time, a stable or slight decrease percentage of intervals with problem behaviour, and a stable or slight increase percentage of task accuracy over time. This prediction is based on the assumption that teachers will continue with MAPs training for students after the intervention phase and that the students will continue to participate in a daily 5-minute MAPs session. Flook et al. (2010) reported finding that improvements in children’s behavioural regulation increased with practice and generalized across settings. Therefore, we anticipate that with regular practice students will maintain improvements and generalize across settings. An area for further research may involve longitudinal studies on the effects of mindfulness training and if children are able to maintain positive effects into adulthood.
Figure 1. Percentage of intervals of engaged time for 4 participants before, during, and after mindfulness training using the Inner Kids Program developed by Susan Kaiser-Greenland.
Figure 2. Percentage of intervals of problem behaviour for 4 participants before, during, and after mindfulness training using the Inner Kids Program developed by Susan Kaiser-Greenland.
Figure 3. Percentage of task accuracy for 4 participants before, during, and after mindfulness training using the Inner Kids Program developed by Susan Kaiser-Greenland.
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**Implementation Checklist**  
*Mindful Awareness Practice (MAP)*

**Date:** ___________  **Person Completing Checklist:** ________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not in Place</th>
<th>Fully in Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Occurred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non-compliance</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Interrupting others</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self injurious behaviour</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Leaving seat</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Putting head down on desk</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Defiance</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Problem behaviours during MAPs training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The goals of MAPs are acceptable and important</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The strategies are useful and effective</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The strategies are difficult to use</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Students are successful with MAPs training sessions</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>We believe the MAPs is successful</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Students are engaged during MAPs training sessions</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>